



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/297,722	11/03/2003	Sami Atig	ATIG-1772	7665
25628	7590	02/15/2011	EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. HOLT			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
12311 HARBOR DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WOODBIDGE, VA 22192			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/15/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2
3
4 BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
5 AND INTERFERENCES

6
7
8 *Ex parte* SAMI ATIG

9
10
11 Appeal 2009-014894
12 Application 10/297,722
13 Technology Center 3600

14
15
16 Before HUBERT C. LORIN, ANTON W. FETTING, and
17 BIBHU R. MOHANTY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.
18 FETTING, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

19 DECISION ON APPEAL¹

¹ The two-month time period for filing an appeal or commencing a civil action, as recited in 37 C.F.R. § 1.304, or for filing a request for rehearing, as recited in 37 C.F.R. § 41.52, begins to run from the “MAIL DATE” (paper delivery mode) or the “NOTIFICATION DATE” (electronic delivery mode) shown on the PTOL-90A cover letter attached to this decision.

1 STATEMENT OF THE CASE²

2 Sami Atig (Appellant) seeks review under 35 U.S.C. § 134 (2002) of a
3 final rejection of claims 9-15, which along with claims 16-28 withdrawn
4 from consideration, are the only claims pending in the application on appeal.
5 We have jurisdiction over the appeal pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 6(b) (2002).

6 The Appellant invented a way of securing transactions performed with
7 cards provided with an owner identification number and protected by a
8 secret code for certain operations (Specification 1:4-6).

9 An understanding of the invention can be derived from a reading of
10 exemplary claim 13, which is reproduced below [bracketed matter and some
11 paragraphing added].

12 13. A method for performing secure transactions
13 by means of cards provided with an identification
14 number,
15 said transactions including the steps of
16 [1] identifying said card via a telecommunications transaction
17 for communication of said identification number,
18 said transactions being the type in which the
19 identification number of said card comprises,

² Our decision will make reference to the Appellant's Appeal Brief ("App. Br.," filed February 6, 2009) and the Examiner's Answer ("Ans.," mailed May 12, 2009).

1

DECISION

2

The rejection of claims 9-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable
3 over Parillo is not sustained.

4

5

REVERSED

6

7

8

9

mev

10

11

Address

12

LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. HOLT

13

12311 HARBOR DRIVE

14

WOODBIDGE VA 22192